Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
SEP 21 1992
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 92-113

In The

# Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1992

MARIA GRACIELA RAMIREZ,

*Petitioner,*

*vs.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

*Respondent.*

*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Texas*

## PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

MARIA GRACIELA RAMIREZ
*Petitioner, Pro Se*
1517 Garcia Street
Laredo, Texas 78040
(512) 723-6925

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

*Page*

Table of Authorities ..... i

Lodging for Brief ..... 2

Statement of the Brief ..... 2

Summary ..... 5

Conclusion ..... 6

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

**Statutes Cited:**

18 U.S.C. § 1512(1)(B) ..... 5

18 U.S.C. § 1621 ..... 5

18 U.S.C. § 1622 ..... 5

18 U.S.C. § 1961 ..... 5

28 U.S.C. § 1654 ..... 4, 5

United States Supreme Court, June 1980, Civil Right Law 1871 ..... 5

**United States Constitution Cited:**

U.S. Const. amend. XIV(1) ..... 2, 5

U.S. Const. Article VI(2) ..... 5

No. 92-113

In the

# Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1992

MARIA GRACIELA RAMIREZ,

*Petititioner,*

*vs.*

THE STATE OF TEXAS,

*Respondent.*

*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Texas*

## PETITIONER'S SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

Petitioner, Maria Graciela Ramirez, *Pro Se*, approaches the Court with a Supplemental Brief to further disclose overwhelming evidence of the severe abuse of rights imposed upon Petitioner by Texas judges and officials, who moved in conspiracies with federal judges so as to extend such segregationist procedures defrauding women of their rights.

Petitioner further moves to inform the Court of the highly criminal activities used by the courts of Texas in conspiracies with federal courts, to defraud Petitioner of large amounts of money by using perjury testimonies, and criminal transactions within the courts.

It is that a conspiracy of Texas and federal judges have declared, by their actions, that an Hispanic woman as *pro se* before the Texas and before the federal courts of the Southern District of Texas, shall be publicly struck down as being "mentally incompetent" and "emotionally disturbed", and thus defrauded of all her rights and property — in severe violation of the 14th Amendment, section one of the United States Constitution.

It is that with the conspiracy of Texas and federal judges, and Assistant United States Attorney Manuel Porro-Vizcarra — Petitioner remains totally without rights, and threatened never to approach the courts with a complaint, thus in the United States of America a foreigner before the courts is protected by rights, but a woman citizen is denied the rights guaranteed by her nation equally to all.

## LODGING FOR BRIEF

Documented material, related to Brief, has been Lodged with the Clerk of the Court.

## STATEMENT OF THE BRIEF

The Supplemental Brief, with factual documents, moves to further disclose the severe abuse of rights upon a woman imposed by conspiracies of state and federal judges, using procedures to gag, intimidate, and defraud a woman of her rights and property, by using criminal activities of organized crime, and public defamation stigmas.

I. Texas and federal judges conspired to publicly stigmatize Petitioner as of "mental illness", so as to defraud Petitioner of all her rights, and her property — and the stigma of mental illness has been viciously and maliciously used in the courts of the nation, so as to rob a woman of her property. (*See* Lodging.)

A. Texas Judge Manuel Flores, 49th District Court for Webb County, Texas — presiding in Cause No. 20,730, in the worse sham prosecution the nation has ever seen — on August 17, 1987, without cause of reason, publicly moved to stigmatize Petitioner as "mentally incompetent", so as to silence Petitioner's voice to a fair hearing, and thus, to serve as an example to all Hispanic women who should approach the courts as *pro se*.

B. Federal Judge James DeAnda of U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas — in Case No. H-88-0277 of February 24, 1988, moved in conspiracy with Texas Judge Manuel Flores, to silence the voice of Petitioner with the most destructive public defamation stigma of "emotionally disturbed" upon Petitioner — being the most brutal attack to silence the rights of a woman ever to surface in the history of the courts of the nation, directly exposing the anti-women segregationist courts that have always existed and will continue to exist if the *Maria* case is not exposed.

II. Texas and federal judges moved to conspire in criminal activities with TransAmerican Natural Gas Corporation, to defraud Petitioner of her land and mineral monies, by using the courts to defraud Petitioner of all her protective and security rights — and thus, using the courts as racketeering centers by criminal corporations and state and federal judges in organized procedures of intimidations, extortion and embezzlements. (*See* Lodging.)

A. Texas Judge Antonio Zardenetta, 111th District Court for Webb County, Texas — presided in Cause No. 31,320, and on December 14, 1981, ordered that Good Hope Refineries, Inc. (now TransAmerican Natural Gas Corporation) "tender into the registry of the Court all monies currently held in suspense by Plaintiffs (Good Hope) in Defendant's account" (Petitioner's account). — the money in the "registry of the Court" has never surfaced, was embezzled by the court.

B. Texas Judge Manuel Flores, 49th District Court for Webb

County, Texas — moved to bribe Petitioner to give up part of her land, and when Petitioner refused the bribe, Judge Flores in conspiracy with the District Attorney, moved to preside in the sham prosecution on Case No. 20,730 and the double jeopardy Case No. 38,011, both moving with perjury testimonies, perjury subornation, and continual obstruction of justice — where Petitioner was gagged of her defense, denied a fair hearing, denied a change of venue — and the conspiracy of Judge Flores and TransAmerican Natural Gas Corporation moved to embezzle more than $89,000 dollars from the mineral suspense monies of Petitioner, in organized crime procedures.

C. Federal Bankruptcy Judge Manuel Leal, and Chief Judge James DeAnda of the United States District Courts for the Southern District of Texas – moved in conspiracy with Trans-American Natural Gas Corporation to embezzle twelve million dollars ($12,000,000) from the awarded bankruptcy claim monies of Petitioner — the largest embezzlement criminal activity involving judges of the United States of America — whereby Bankruptcy Judge Manuel Leal totally defrauded Petitioner of every right to a fair hearing and the final judgment in a one-sided hearing was concealed from Petitioner (Claimant) for many months.

D. The law profession has total control of the courts of the nation, eliminating the laws and rights of equality when denying women their rights to approach the courts as *pro se*, in violations of 28 U.S.C. § 1654 — appearance personally or by counsel, while imposing monetary sanctions or cruel punishments upon a woman who approaches the courts as *pro se*.

E. It is that the State Bar of Texas, with date of September 3, 1992, has moved to intimidate Petitioner into remaining silent, when Petitioner submitted complaints upon Texas attorneys— and the investigative committee of the State Bar of Texas intimidated Petitioner of "Unauthorized Practice of Law" for approaching the courts as *pro se*.

It is that federal law as 28 U.S.C. § 1654 extends to everyone, including women, the right to "plead and conduct their own cases personally", and the 14th Amendment right, section one of the United States Constitution guarantees such liberty freedom rights — and no State or State Bar of Texas has the power to overthrow the laws of the land (U.S. Const. Article VI(2)), or violate the constitutional rights of the people.

## SUMMARY

It is that the courts of the nation can no longer identify with dignity and justice, when its state and federal judges conspire to segregate the women citizens of even the basic liberty rights — and move to use their courts as private dealers for criminal activities — in violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1621–Perjury;18 U.S.C. § 1622–Subornation of Perjury; 18 U.S.C. § 1512(1)(B)–Obstruction of Justice; 18 U.S.C. § 1961–Racketeering.

It is that the Supreme Court of the United States, in June 1980, ruled that under the Civil Rights Laws of 1871, a citizen in violation of her rights by the state, could move to sue the state, thus Petitioner approached the Texas Supreme Court with a complaint on a violations of her rights by judges and officials, but was ignored because of sex identity approaching as *pro se*.

## CONCLUSION

Petitioner prays to the Court to review the factual evidence, that discloses the severe violations of rights upon women very much existing within the courts of the nation — and pleads to the Court to bring forth the *Maria* case by the Supreme Court of the United States to formally declare the liberties and freedom rights equal for women.

Respectfully submitted,

MARIA GRACIELA RAMIREZ
*Petitioner, Pro Se*